# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

So	UTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
	DARRELL E. BAGESON	
(In t	he space above enter the full name(s) of the plaintiff(s).)	2nd Amended
		COMPLAINT
	-against-	09 CU 1656
_7	e City & New Yerk excits waned defaidables	
<u> </u>	artona Livera Juda Williams McConthy Mic In Dad	Jury Trial: □ Yes 🔀 No (check one)
16	The Smith Herth Olive Trylong Wonda Chambers	(check one)
_Ad	men for Children Strice's Christin Blamigh a Jan Box	
<u>(v</u>	enny Marcus of Family support unlighted The moration Marcus, Kingston Child Mailance ( action, Kener O. Masher, Dio-Mather	hasenica
	comes Prosse arlane Jowelly NYP.D. Fixarm Die	
<u> </u>	J. Strey Black , P. C. Fores Rivera, It, John Eagen,	
<u>N.</u>	7. P.D. 46th Pet, Sgt. Kevin Hoars NY. P. D. BROWN	
	e space above enter the full name(s) of the defendant(s). If you	
canno	of the names of all of the defendants in the space provided,	
pleas	e write "see attached" in the space above and attach an	
aaan listed	ional sheet of paper with the full list of names. The names in the above caption must be identical to those contained in	
Part I	Addresses should not be included here.)	
Į.	Parties in this complaint:	
4.	List your name, address and telephone number. If you are p	resently in custody include your
	identification number and the name and address of your curre	ent place of confinement. Do the
	same for any additional plaintiffs named. Attach additional she	eets of paper as necessary.
Plaint		-
Iami		
	TO COPER TO A JE	
	County, City Brenz State & Zip Code NY (0453	
	Telephone Number	
3.	List all defendants. You should state the full name of the defe	ndent even if that defendent
	government agency, an organization, a corporation, or an indiv	idual. Include the address where
		micrago mic addices wilele

Rev. 05/2007

contained in the above caption. Attach additional sheets of paper as necessary.

each defendant may be served. Make sure that the defendant(s) listed below are identical to those

	lants' names, positions, places of employment, and the address where each
	be served. Make sure that the defendant(s) listed below are identical to those
	e above caption. Attach additional sheets of paper as necessary.
Defendant No. 1	Name LIDDA Williams McCoathy Shield#
	Where Currently Employed NEW YORK City LAW DEPT
	Address 100 Charch St
	NY. N.9. 10007
Defendant No. 2	Name Laustasia Riceda Shield#
	Where Currently Employed NEW YORK City LAW Dept
	Address 100 Church 57.
	NY. NY. 10007
	11 71 9-1-
Defendant No. 3	Name Lt John Eagan Where Currently Employed 46th Pct. N.Y.P.D
	Where Currently Employed _96 to rest. N.9. t. D
	Address 120 Ryer Avenue
	Address 1120 Ryer Avenue BRONK N.Y. 10457
Defendant No. 4	Name Leslie Smith Shield # Syper. Where Currently Employed Admin Children Seeduice:
	Where Currently Employed Admin Children Services
	Address 220 Eshurch Street
	NY. NY. 10007
Defendant No. 5	Name WAUDA Charbers Shield #
	Where Currently Employed Admin (Lildren Services
	Address 120 Church Street
	NY NY 10007
Defendant No. 6	Name Herta Dlivia-Taylor Shield#
	Where Currently Employed Admin Children Services
	Address 220 Church Street
	NY. NY. 10009
Defendant No. 7	Name Christle DLAmiju Shield# Where Currently Employed FAMILY Support Control Inc Address 2530 GRAND CONCOURSE
	Where Currently Employed FAMILA Support linkings Inc
	Address 2530 GRAND GOOLGURSS
	BRONK NY. 10458
Defendant No. 8	Name DENNY GARCIA Shield #
	Name DENNY GARCIA Shield # Where Currently Employed FAMILY Support Unlined and Two Address 1530 Shand Contourse  Barry NY 12458
	Address 1530 Grand Condourse
	Brown N.4. 10458

## Case 1:09-cv-01656-VM-FM Document 40 Filed 03/10/10 Page 3 of 31

Defendant	No. 9	Name Grand-Maker, D. GARZH Jawe Doe Shield # Shield #
		Where Currently Employed Fimily Support linking of tive
		Address 2530 Shand Concoluge
		Brorx NY 10458
Defendant	No. 10	Name Marylin GRAMA5 Shield #_ Where Currently Employed ASTOR Child Huidances Carile
	- 101 - 5	Where Currently Employed ASTOR Child Thirday ( and &
		Address 750 Tildan Garanes ST1998
		Brow NY 10467
Defendant	No. 11	Name Keisha Senior Shield#
Dolomaunt	110. 11	Where Currently Employed Ristor Child Hudenes Courte
		Address 750 Tilden St
Defendant	No. 12	Name Shield #
Determant	140. 12	Where Currently Employed
		Address
		Address
Defendant	No. 12	Name Thomas Pagsso Shield #
Detelluant	110. 15	Where Currently Simpleyed 1) 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
		Where Currently Employed DY POD FIREARM DIVISION Address 1 Police PLAZA ROOM 110 A
		Address Thought I ADD AND I AN
		NEW YORK, NY LOO38
Defendant	No. 14	Nome Alexander Should #
Defendant	NO. 14	Name Officer Stacy BLACK Shield #
		Address J 1 20 Pyen aus mus.
		Broy N.Y. 1045.7
Defendent	No. 15	Name Officer Formes Rustra Shield#  Where Currently Employed N.Y. P. D. Military Leave  Address I Police Plaza Military Extress Leave DESK.  New York, NY 10038
Defendant	NO. 13	Where Currently Employed 124 P D Will to 25 1 20 16
		Address 1 Dalina Dina Dina 16
		Address _ 1 EQUEE FLO ZQ MILATREMEDES LEAGE DESK.
D - f 1 4	N- 16	Name Sgt. KEVIN HOORE Shield # Where Currently Employed BRONX Special Victims
Defendant	NO. 16	Name
		where currently employed 15kg x 5pec (at 0 (2+1/1/5)
		Address 1086 Simpson Street
D - C 1 4	NY. 177	Brong Ny 10459
Defendant	NO. 17	Name Oftener Lower Snield #
		Name Orleng Lowell Shield# Where Currently Employed NYPD. Firearm Division. Address 1 Police PLAZA Room 110 A  New York, NY 14038
		Address Traine That Kook 110 A
		New YORK, NY 14038

Defendan	t No. 1 <b>7</b>	Name Rever D. Mosher [Bio-mother]  Street Address 576 Southern Blud #17  County, City Browx		
		State & Zip Code 124 104 55  Telephone Number		
Defendan	t No.	Name		
		Street Address		
		County, City		
		State & Zip Code		
		Telephone Number		
Defendar	t No.	Name		
		Street Address		
		County, City		
		State & Zip Code		
		Telephone Number		
Defendar	it No.	Name		
		Street Address		
		County, City		
		State & Zip Code		
		Telephone Number		
	Basis for Juri			
U.S.C. §	olving a feder 1331, a case case Under	rts of limited jurisdiction. Only two types of cases can be heard in federal court: ral question and cases involving diversity of citizenship of the parties. Under 28 e involving the United States Constitution or federal laws or treaties is a federal 28 U.S.C. § 1332, a case in which a citizen of one state sues a citizen of another a damages is more than \$75,000 is a diversity of citizenship case.		
Α	What is the basis for federal court jurisdiction? (check all that apply)			
*	Federal Questions   □ Diversity of Citizenship			
В. 1	If the basis for jurisdiction is Federal Question, what federal Constitutional, statutory or treaty right			
is at issue? Deprivation of due precess right? fourth amendment seigure Ne cond amendment, Here and been arms, mandetong storage classes amendment, 142 low of religion, 42 U.S.C. 1983, Censting law 4401, Section of religion, 42 U.S.C. 1983, Censting law 4401, Section of 1161, 1206, 1207, 1383, 1392, 1448.		equivation of due precess right? fourth amendment seigure right; wandment, Keep and been arms, mondatory storage Clauses		
-	East on	and mant, fraction of religion, 42 U.S.Co 1983, Constitutioner		
<b>C</b> .	f the basis for	jurisdiction is Diversity of Citizenship, what is the state of citizenship of each party?		
]	Plaintiff(s) stat	te(s) of citizenship		
]	Defendant(s) s	tate(s) of citizenship		

III. Statement of Clai	m:
------------------------	----

State as briefly as possible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how each of the defendants named in the caption of this complaint is involved in this action, along with the dates and locations of all relevant events. You may wish to include further details such as the names of other persons involved in the events giving rise to your claims. Do not cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to allege a number of related claims, number and set forth each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach additional sheets of paper as necessary.

B.	What date and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim(s) occur? ON BRABOUT AUDUST 17, 2006, A PPROXIMATE 9:15 PM
С.	Facts: - SEE ATTACHED - FACTS:
	-SEE ADDENDUM (3) : ATTACHED SHEET , INVOLVEMENT
**	- SEE ADDENDUM (2): ATTACHED SHEET, WITHESSES
<b>V.</b> If y	Injuries: ou sustained injuries related to the events alleged above, describe them and state what medi
reat	ment, if any, you required and received. Vimitiff sustained SERIOUS
_	TO DATE, CURRENTLY BEING TREATED FOR FOLLOWS ADJUSTMENT DISORDER WITH MIXED FUNCTIONAL FEATURES DUE &

V. Relief:
State what you want the Court to do for you and the amount of monetary compensation, if any, you are
seeking, and the basis for such compensation. plaintill is caking for \$500,000.00 in
compounding domages \$500000 in puniture domages and any other relief Court of some
present, based on sometim of liberty protected interests in companionship
of child, higher met to be deprived of liberty as result of the fabrication
of evidence by a government officer acting in an investigative
Cofocity manufacturing of Evidence and states us of that
Evidence to obtain wrongful conviction indispettably denied
plaintiff rights secured by the Due process clause of the
Downsenth amendment, further the second om and mant,
Pourth amandment "singer" clause, coured plaintiff
Compass resterned emotioned destress reputation
tarnessed, as ruption of vocational sportunities; use
of fericam protection formed ] recrution or, Ounimiting
voluntary asis [4.5. Futice Dept.] in preventing terrerism.
De surary loss ; love of my daugister.
t t
I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
Signed this (18) day of March, 2010
Signature of Plaintiff Chrele E. Emerson
Mailing Address 1639 Nalson Que #3F
Brown NY. 10453
Telephone Number
Fax Number (if you have one)
Note: All plaintiffs named in the caption of the complaint must date and sign the complaint. Prisoners must also provide their inmate numbers, present place of confinement, and address.
For Prisoners:
I declare under penalty of perjury that on this day of, 20, I am delivering this complaint to prison authorities to be mailed to the <i>Pro Se</i> Office of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York.
Signature of Plaintiff:
Inmate Number

**D** 

United States District Court Southern District New York

Amended Complaint [second]

Index#09Civ 1656

Darrell E. Emerson
Against
City of New York et al
"City Defendants"

- 1. Plaintiff argues based on new evidence [Lt. John Eagan of 46<sup>th</sup> Pct NYPD, Joe Doe defendant] from counselor Jeffery Dantowitz representing "City Defendants".
- Lt. John Eagan of 46<sup>th</sup> Pct NYPD is John Doe defendant allegedly who authorized Sgt Kevin Hoare of 46<sup>th</sup> Pct NYPD to remove all firearms from plaintiff home on 08/17/2006.
- 3. Lt. John Eagan of 46<sup>th</sup> Pct NYPD violated plaintiff right to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, under the fourth amendment, and violating plaintiff right to keep and bear arms under the second amendment rights, and violating the plaintiff right to due process of the law under the fourteenth amendment, and further violating 42 U.S.C. 1983.
- 4. Lt. John Eagan of 46<sup>th</sup> Pct NYPD is the John Doe defendant who reported to Administration for Children Services that, allegedly plaintiff had "loaded gun within reach of the child". Although city agencies and NYS licensed individuals are required [mandated] to report any suspected alleged abuse and or neglect of children, furthermore they have a obligation, even a duty to report the correct facts, in a non-negligent fashion, with reasonable care, and unbiased investigation, plaintiff alleges conduct of Lt. John Eagan caused the plaintiff damage.
  - 5. Clearly Lt. John Eagan of 46<sup>th</sup> Pct NYPD, failed to report accurately, regarding alleged loaded gun, further failed in his assessment and investigation on scene [Home of plaintiff] regarding alleged loaded gun "within reach of the child", this material fact highlighted by, Hon. Judge Allen G. Alpert, New York State, Bronx County Family Court, assessment of the facts, regarding gun allegedly within reach of the child, Hon. Judge Alpert ruled that plaintiff had exercised proper precautions to secure all firearms in his possession, importantly concluding that evidence presented by plaintiff were" powerful evidence of the precautions taken" by plaintiff, and importantly that the "Unloaded gun was not within reach of the child", in glaring contrast to Lt. John Eagan of 46<sup>th</sup> Pct NYPD, subjective, arbitrary, prejudicial/bias assessment and subsequent investigation [report] of the facts and circumstances surrounding incident on



08/17/2006, Hon. Judge Alpert dismissal on 01/24/2008, is a material fact and the plaintiff most compelling evidence in this action, neither Counselor Dantowitz nor Counselor Zonghetti dispute this material fact, Hon. Judge Alpert dismissal not even mentioned by either of the counselors.

6. Lt. John Eagan of 46<sup>th</sup> Pct NYPD manufactured false evidence to support alleged "emergency circumstance" [alleged "Loaded Gun"] and alleged abuse of "emergency removal" and is allegedly guilty of criminal collusion. Lt. John Eagan report is significantly contradicted by P.O. Officer Adrian Ramos, on 08/17/2006 was member 46<sup>th</sup> Pct NYPD, initial officer on scene, testified in Bronx County Family Court, on 11/14/2007 that "gun was Unloaded" [material fact]. General issue of material fact, as to whether Lt. John Eagan knew gun was unloaded and fabricated report intentionally to deprive plaintiff of constitutionally and or statutory rights, plaintiff argues that the alleged manufacture of false evidence is at issue, "right at issue in this case" the right not to be deprived of liberty as result of the fabrication of evidence by a government officer acting in an investigative capacity.

: Anthony v. Baker, 767 F. 2d 657,662-63 (10th Cir. 1985).

Manufacturing of evidence and the states use of that evidence to obtain wrongful conviction indisputably denied him [plaintiff] rights secured by the Due Process Clause .

: Newsome v. McCabe, 256 F. 3d 747, 752 (7th Cir. 2001).

- 7. Lt. John Eagan of 46<sup>th</sup> Pct NYPD allegedly violated plaintiff right to keep and bear arms, under the second amendment, further that mandatory storage is unconstitutional, plaintiff within bounds of his second amendment protection, according to Hon. Justice Gary J. Weber of NYS Supreme Court, Suffolk ruling, Joseph L. Coloaiavo v. Richard Dormer, further plaintiff right to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures shall not be violated, under the fourth amendment and plaintiff right not to be deprived of life, liberty or property without due process of the law under the fourteenth amendment and further allegedly violating 42 U.S.C. 1983.
- 8. Plaintiff argues allegedly Lt. John Eagan of 46<sup>th</sup> Pct NYPD directed P.O. Officer Stacy Black and P.O Officer James Rivera both, of 46<sup>th</sup> Pct NYPD to abusively [By violently shacking child], interrogate child while at sleep, at 3:00am on 08/18/2006, on scene at St. Barnabas Hospital Emergency room.

- 9. Plaintiff argues on grounds mentioned above, #4 #5, #6, alleged criminal collusion and alleged child abuse with respect to Lt. John Eagan, P.O. Officer Stacy Black, P.O. Officer James Rivera, of the 46<sup>th</sup> Pct NYPD.
- 10. Plaintiff argues that allegedly two different witnesses, Jane Doe staff nurse St Barnabas Hospital commented to P.O. Officer Stacy Black and P.O. Officer James Rivera both of the 46<sup>th</sup> Pct NYPD, that "you could give a child whiplash by shacking her like that"
- 11. Plaintiff argues that second witness, John Doe ACS worker accompanied by ACS Supervisor (2) Leslie Smith, allegedly commented to P.O. Officer Stacy Black and P.O. Officer James Rivera both of 46<sup>th</sup> Pct NYPD that "you could have a lawsuit for shacking a child like that, especially when it [examination] was unfounded ".
- 12. Plaintiff argues that the alleged abuse of "emergency circumstance" argued by Counselor Dantowitz and subsequent "alleged abuse of "emergency removal" is alleged policy and or custom ["at issue"] that, deprives parents liberty protected interest rights, and constitutional section 1392; disrupted familial relationships of plaintiff, thereby clearly implicating federally protected rights, and further allegedly violating under the due process clause of the fourteenth amendment, and violates right to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, under the fourth amendment and further violates 42 U.S.C. 1983.
  - 13. Plaintiff argues that the "manufactured false evidence" [allegedly by Lt. John Eagan of 46<sup>th</sup> Pct NYPD] is at issue and directly correlates to alleged "emergency circumstance" and subsequent alleged abuse of "emergency removal" which Counselor Dantowitz representing "City Defendants" argues in his pre-motion to dismiss ["emergency circumstance"], letter dated 11/18/09; further Dantowitz argues alleged sexual abuse, as support for alleged "emergency circumstance" which [material fact], the sex abuse allegation determined unfounded by [Dr. Henry Bruce, St Barnabas Hospital], same night 08/18/2006, and when unfounded determination occurred, the alleged "emergency circumstance" ended, further subject child had been discharged

- 14. Lt. John Eagan allegedly abused his authority, alleged abuse of process ["emergency circumstance and emergency removal"], and alleged; intentional and or negative infliction of emotional distress, gross-negligence, intentional and or negative infliction of emotional distress, gross-negligence, with deliberate indifference], failure to exercise professional judgment, knowingly violating the law, reckless, failure to adequately supervise subordinate officers, either knew or should have known that his actions/conduct would violate plaintiff constitutional and or statutory rights, actions/conduct would violate plaintiff constitutional and or statutory rights, actions/conduct would violate plaintiff damage and is an alleged policy and or Dantowitz, further causing plaintiff damage and is an alleged policy and or custom that is unconstitutional, executed with reckless, deliberate indifference, custom that is unconstitutional, executed with reckless, deliberate indifference, constituting alleged grounds for Municipal liability. "Historically this guarantee constituting alleged grounds for Municipal liability. "Historically this guarantee of due process has been applied to deliberate decisions of government officials to deprive a person of life, liberty, or property."
  - : Daniels v. Williams, 474 U.S. 327, 331, 88 L. Ed. 2d 662, 106 S. Ct. (1986).
  - 15. Counselor Dantowitz further argued that, removal of firearms was justified based on alleged "emergency circumstance", plaintiff objects; general issue of material fact as to whether, Lt. Eagan allegedly manufactured false evidence, and further under second amendment protection, [District of Columbia v. Heller] confiscation allegedly violates the "mandatory storage clause", which was ruled as unconstitutional by NYS Supreme Court, Suffolk County, Hon. Ustice Gary J. Weber, Joseph L. Colaiacovo v. Richard Dormer, in exhibits this Justice Gary J. Weber, Joseph L. Colaiacovo v. Richard Dormer, in exhibits this action, further was clearly unreasonable, and is alleged violation of plaintiff action, further was clearly unreasonable, and effects, against right to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, for the Amendment, and further further Diolated 42 U.S.C. 1983. Further there were alternatives available, allegedly voolated 42 U.S.C. 1983. Further there were alternatives available,

however Lt. John Eagan chose the most extreme measures, depriving plaintiff of his liberties, [all firearms] based on alleged abuse of "emergency circumstance", plaintiff reported to CCRB [#07-25855] regarding conduct of officers from 46<sup>th</sup> Pct NYPD during incident 08/17/2006; alleged photos [cell phone] plaintiff shotgun, officer [John Doe ["this would be nice with me"], anti religious, ethnic comments, desecration of holy shrine of parents of plaintiff, threatened with arrest if we asked any questions, illegally searching apartment without permission, or warrant, racial overtones, and further frivolous alleged sexual abuse [fabricated], determined unfounded by Dr. Henry Bruce, 08/18/20, significantly when the unfounded determination occurred, the alleged "emergency circumstance" disappeared.

Counselor Dantowitz further argued that Hon. Judge Alpert ruled that child was in imminent risk, suggesting credibility to alleged "emergency circumstance", however Plaintiff argues that, Family Court Judges are mandated to enact this [Imminent risk] factor, initially to protect well-being of children, further it is preliminary action, and a common practice, clearly this process do not

establish credibility to actual imminent risk, error on the side of caution? [child protection], when the facts were clearly established, however Hon. Judge Alpert ruled to dismiss the Neglect Petition, and in his Court opinion; lambasted City of New York, ACS and law enforcement, for maligning plaintiff with unjust case, Court opinion, Dismissal "In the Furtherance of Justice".

16. Lt John Eagan of 46thPct NYPD sworn to defend the United States Constitution and further to protect and serve the citizens of New York City, unfortunately Lt. John Eagan has allegedly clearly failed to defend the constitutional and or statutory rights of the plaintiff, simultaneously depriving plaintiff of his liberty protected interest unjustifiably, regarding the incident that occurred on or about 08/17/2006, at home of plaintiff, and further failed to protect and serve the plaintiff liberties regarding same incident. Lt. John Eagan of 46th Pct NYPD allegedly manufactured false evidence, to support "emergency removal" of child from St.Barnabas Hospital, Counselor Dantowitz attempts to cloak this defendant with qualified immunity and, this insulation should be defeated, although counselor Dantowitz continues to insulate his defendants with claims of qualified immunity, emergency circumstances, improper service, etc and anticipated motion to dismiss, however plaintiff is confident complaint sufficient facts to plead and further sufficient facts to prevail, if it pleases the Court and the Court allows plaintiff opportunity to be heard and present evidence...

### Cause of Action:

- 1. First Cause of Action (1983/ Due Process of the Law)
  - A. "By Lt. John Eagan of 46<sup>th</sup> Pct NYPD authority, [on scene] removal [confiscation] of legally residence and licensed firearms from home of plaintiff on 08/17/2006, executed by Sgt. Kevin Hoare of 46<sup>th</sup> Pct NYPD, "under color of state".
  - B. Counselor Dantowitz argued in letter 11/18/2009 that claimed "emergency circumstance" and "objectively reasonable basis", allowed removal of firearms, Plaintiff, argues, alleged misconduct of Officers from 46<sup>th</sup>Pct NYPD, 08/17/2006 on scene, CCRB [#07-25855] reported regarding multiple complaints ranging from; [John Doe] officer taking photos [cell phone] of plaintiff shotgun, commented "this would be nice for me", anti- religious, ethnic comments, desecration of holy shrine to plaintiff parents, illegally searching apartment without permission, and or warrant, threatened with arrest if we asked any questions, humiliated, confiscation of all legally licensed firearms, ammunition, papers and effects,



- C. Lt John Eagan never responded to plaintiff complaints, however Sgt. Hoare did visit the plaintiff home while on duty, expressed his personal apologies for some of the officers conduct that incident, of 08/17/2006, clearly Lt. John Eagan was responsible as senior officer, to adequately supervise his officers, plaintiff alleges that correlation between conduct of officers and including but not limited to Lt. John Eagan failure to adequately supervise conduct of officers under his command, subsequent with his decision to remove [all of the] plaintiff firearms,
  - D. plaintiff further objects, due to allegation of Lt. John Eagan, manufacturing false evidence, [at issue], and [all of these] defendants knowingly violated the law, either knew, or should have known that their conduct would violate plaintiff constitutional and or statutory rights, occurring simultaneous with firearm removal action, significant plaintiff argues, law enforcement investigative process was allegedly prejudicial, arbitrary, and allegedly criminal collusion occurred? general issues of material fact, regarding conduct of Lt. John Eagan; alleged manufacture false evidence, and or grossnegligence [with deliberate indifference], failure to adequately supervise subordinate officers, unreasonable, alleged unconstitutional decision to remove all firearms, if it pleases the Court, plaintiff should be heard.
  - E. When there were alternative possibilities to this course of action, however the Lt. John Eagan and Sgt. Kevin Hoare, rushed to judgment, executed the most extreme action [confiscation], plaintiff has factual incident similar to incident of 08/17/2006, demonstrating the correct alternative action regarding non-removal of firearms in this type scenario, that will be presented in anticipated opposition to motion to dismiss, and without considering plaintiff constitutional and or statutory rights, the firearms issue was coincidental to alleged sex abuse investigation, and as result, confiscation should not have been authorized at this point.
  - F. When Dr. Henry Bruce determined that sex abuse allegation was unfounded, the alleged "emergency circumstance" disappeared, yet the deprivation of plaintiff liberties had preceded the unfounded determination by Dr. Bruce, without forethought of plaintiff liberties, by Lt. John Eagan, causing the plaintiff damages.
  - G. [All of these] defendants [under color of state] allegedly violated plaintiff right to keep and bear arms under the second amendment, further allegedly violated plaintiff right to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, under the fourth amendment, and allegedly violated plaintiff right not to be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of the law, under the fourteenth amendment, and allegedly constitutional law 4401,



sections, 1161, 1206, 1392, 1448 and further allegedly violated 42 U.S.C. 1983. "

- 1. U.S. Supreme Court; District of Columbia v. Heller.. U.S.-128 S. Ct.
- 2. New York State Supreme Court, Suffolk County; Joseph L. Colaiacovo v. Richard Dormer, Index # 08-020230
- 3. McCoy v. City of Monticello, C.A. 8 (Ark.) 2003, 342 F. 3d 842.
- 4. Swine hart v. McAndrews, E.D. Pa. 2002 221 F. Supp. 2d 552
- 5. Constitutional law; 4401, section, 1206; Due process of the law

# 2. Second Cause of Action, (1983/ Due Process of the law)

- A. "By Lt. John Eagan of 46th Pct NYPD, manufacture of false evidence, by report to Administration for Children Services; alleged "loaded gun within reach of the child", Lt. John Eagan [on scene] St. Barnabas Hosp ital, P.O. Officer Stacy Black and P.O. Officer James Rivera allegedly directed by Lt. John Eagan to interrogate subject child while sleeping at 3:00am [abusively shacking], allegedly observed by two witnesses, Jane Doe St. Barnabas Hospital Staff Nurse, commented to P. O. Officer Stacy Black and P.O. Officer James Rivera, both of 46th Pct NYPD, that "you could give a child whiplash by shacking her like that" John Doe ACS Worker accompany to ACS Supervisor (2) Leslie Smith [on scene] commented to same Officers that "you could have a lawsuit for shacking a child like that, especially since case was unfounded".
  - B. Additionally P.O. Officer Stacy Black and P.O. Officer James Rivera working in concert with ACS Worker, Herta Olivia Taylor, executed the illegal removal [alleged abuse of "emergency removal"] of subject child, disregarding Dr. Henry Bruce discharge order to return home, follow-up with Dr. Rice [C.A.C.], and glaringly the unfounded determination of Dr. Bruce, this conduct suggest motivation beyond dynamic of typical investigation, and grounds for alleged criminal



collusion, and alleged manufacture of false evidence [at issue], child abuse, general issue as to material fact as to whether Lt. John Eagan was responsible for ordering these actions and his alleged manufacture of false evidence, failure to adequately supervise subordinate officers, conduct which caused the plaintiff damages.

- C. Lt. John Eagan "under color of State" is allegedly; guilty of abuse of authority, abuse of process ["emergency removal"], intentional and or negative infliction of emotional distress, and or gross-negligence [with deliberate indifference], failed to exercise professional judgment, failure to adequately supervise subordinate officers, and further the alleged "emergency circumstance" and the alleged abuse of "emergency removal" with deliberate indifference, are alleged policy and or custom that is unconstitutional and allegedly grounds for Municipal liability, [amplified in anticipated opposition, motion to Dismiss].
  - D. [All of these] defendants knowingly violated the law, forfeiting qualified immunity, further [acting under color of state], allegedly violated plaintiff right to be secure in his person, house, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, under fourth amendment, and plaintiff right not to be deprived of his liberty protected interest, in companionship of his child, his life, liberty, or property under fourteenth amendment, constitutional law 4401, sections; 1392, 1448, 1207, 1161 and further 42 U.S.C. 1983."

## **Support Cases:**

- 1. Gomes v. Wood C.A. 10 (Utah) 2006, 451 F.3d 1122.
- 2. Whelehan v. Monroe County, W.D.N.Y. 1983 558 F. Supp. 1093
- 3. Harlow v. Fitzgerald, 457 U.S. 800 102 S. Ct. 272 73L. Ed. 2d 396 (1982).
- 4. Crawford v. Garnier, 719 F.2d 1317, 1324 (7th Cir.1983).
- 5. Mendez v. Rutherford, 655 F. Supp. 115 (N.D. Ill. 1986).
- 6. O'Donnel v. Brown, W.D. Mich. 2004, 355 F. Supp. 2d 787.

## 3. Third Cause of Action (1983/ Due Process of the Law)

- A. "By Lt. John Eagan working in concert with ACS Supervisor (2)
  Leslie Smith, both allegedly manufacturing false evidence, included
  in Neglect Petition [NN-20237-06], dated 08/21/2006, working in
  concert with Linda Williams McCarthy, Assist Corp Council, City of
  New York, prosecuted these fabricated allegations, allegedly
  manufactured false evidence, general issue material fact as to
  whether, defendants [Lt. John Eagan, Leslie Smith] intentionally
  deprived plaintiff of his liberty protected interests, disrupting familial
  relationships, Lt. John Eagan of 46<sup>th</sup> Pct NYPD, and Leslie Smith,
  ACS, Child Protection Specialist, Supervisor (2),
- B. [All of these] defendants knowingly violated the law, "Under Color of State", failed to exercise professional judgment, either knew, or should have known that their conduct would violate constitutional and or statutory rights of plaintiff, [McCarthy] allegedly, engaged in dishonesty, constitutional tort of malicious prosecution, [Smith] allegedly, manufactured false evidence, abused authority, abuse of process, criminal collusion, intentional and or negative infliction of emotional distress [Lt. John Eagan] allegedly, manufactured false evidence, [report to ACS of alleged "loaded gun within reach of the child"] contradicted by P.O. Officer Adrian Ramos formerly of 46<sup>th</sup> Pct NYPD,
- C. malicious prosecution, [individual subjected to sufficient deprivation of liberties to constitute "seizure" necessary to support their malicious prosecution claim under 1983, against Lt. John Eagan, failure to adequately supervise subordinate officers, abused authority, abuse of process [emergency removal], intentional and or negative infliction of emotional distress, and or gross-negligence [with deliberate indifference], criminal collusion, child abuse.
- D. All of these defendants allegedly violated, plaintiff liberty protected interests, constitutional law 4401; sections 1392, 1448, 1206, 1383, and further allegedly violated plaintiff right to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, under the fourth amendment, and further, allegedly violated plaintiff right not to be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of the law, under the fourteenth amendment and plaintiff right not to be allegedly deprived of their liberties by fabrication of evidence by government official in an investigative capacity and further allegedly violated 42 U.S.C. 1983.



## **Support Cases:**

- 1. Cox v. County of Suffolk, 780 F. Supp 103, 109 (E.D.N.Y. 1991). 2. Oakley v. City of Rochester, 71 A.D. 2d 15, 421 N.Y.S. 2d 472 (4th Dept. 1979)
- 3. Maxwell v. City of New York, 156 A.D. 2d 28,554 N.Y.S. 2d 502(18
- 4. Roskos v. Sugarloaf, Tp. M.D. Pa 2003 295 F. Supp 2d 480 Civil Rights Dept.1990).

### 4. Fourth Cause of Action

- A."By inadequate training and or supervision evidenced in alleged misconduct complaint, CCRB # [07-25855], multiple complaints mentioned in second amended complaint, under first cause of action part B, plaintiff alleges that lack of supervision by Lt. John Eagan, amounted to deliberate indifference, "under color of State" further plaintiff alleges that conduct relative to anti-religious comments, make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof, under first amendment protection, John Doe officer allegedly violated plaintiff right, and Lt. John Eagan is responsible as senior officer at scene, to exercise adequate training and or supervision of officers in his command.
- B. Plaintiff further is arguing that the alleged abuse of the "emergency removal" and alleged abuse of "emergency circumstance" with deliberate indifference, and defendants allegedly knowingly violated the law, further based on inadequate training and or supervision of defendants from law enforcement and child protection, as relates to this action, constitute custom and, or policy those are grounds for municipal liability [amplified in anticipated opposition to motion to dismiss.

- C. Plaintiff alleging defendants, Lt. John Eagan, Leslie Smith, lack of adequate supervision, and or training, amounted to deliberate indifference, reckless, knowingly violating the law, either knew or should have reasonably known that conduct would violate plaintiff constitutional and or statutory rights, allegedly manufactured false evidence [in conjunction with alleged failure to supervise, and or train adequately], alleged policy and or custom that is actionable under 1983, grounds for municipal liability, plaintiff has right to freely exercise his religion, without prohibition, under first amendment, further not to be deprived of his liberties, including life, liberty, or property, without due process of law, under the fourteenth amendment, regarding alleged misconduct of officers from 46th Pct NYPD, on or about 08/17/2006, home of plaintiff, Lt. John Eagan was senior officer on scene, hence is responsible and as result liable for damages,
- D. further alleged policy and or custom, regarding alleged abuse of emergency removal, and alleged abuse of emergency circumstance, amounts to deliberate indifference by municipal officials, with policymaking authority, which includes failure to train and or supervise child protection [supervisory personnel], and included law enforcement supervisory personnel, and further allegedly violated 42 U.S.C. 1983, U.OLATED, FOURTH & FOURTEAUTH AMENDMENT [AMPLIFIED IN AUTHOR OF TO METITAL TO DISMITS].

#### **Support Cases:**

- 1. Jones v. City of Hartford, D. Conn, 2003, 285 F. Supp. 2d 174. Civil Rights, 1352(1).
- 2. Parker v. Town of Swansea, D. Mass 2003, 270 F, Supp. 2d 92. Civil Rights 1352(4).

#### Damages:

- 1. Compensatory.... \$ 50,000.00 included interest at 9%.
- 2. Punitive......\$ 50,000.00 included interest at 9%

Any other relief the Court deems as proper.

Respectfully Submitted By Darrell E. Emerson Plaintiff Pro Se.

#### **Copies Sent:**

NYC. Law Dept.

1. Jeffery S. Dantowitz Esq. 100 Church Street New York NY 10007

2. Gino Zonghetti Esq. 26 Broadway New York NY 10007

3. P.O. James Rivera: Military leave Desk, 1 Police Plaza, Room 1008 New York, NY 10038

4. Bronx Special Victims Attn: Sgt Kevin Hoare 1086 Simpson Street Bronx NY 10459

5. NYPD 46<sup>th</sup> Pct Attn: Lt. John Eagan 2120 Ryer Avenue Bronx NY 10457

6. NYPD 46<sup>th</sup> Pct Attn: P.O. Stacy Black 2120 Ryer Avenue Bronx NY 10457

7. NYPD Firearm Div Attn:C/O, Thomas Prasso 1 Police Plaza, New York NY 10038 Room 110A.

8. NYPD Firearm Div.
Attn: Arlene Lowell Esq.

1 Police Plaza, New York NY 10038.

9 NYC Admin for Children Services

Attn: Leslie Smith 220 Church Street New York NY 10007

10 NYC Admin for Children Services

Attn: Herta Olivia Taylor 220 Church Street New York, NY 10007

11. Linda Williams McCarthy

NYC Law Dept. 100 Church Street New York NY 10007 C/o Jeffery S. Dantowitz Esq. 12. Anastasia Rivera
NYC Law Dept.
100 Church Street
New York NY 10007
C/o Jeffery S. Dantowitz Esq.

13. Wanda Chambers
NYC Law Dept.
100 Church Street
New York NY 10007
C/o Jeffery S. Dantowitz Esq.

## Case 1:09-cv-01656-VM-FM Document 40 Filed 03/10/10 Page 20 of 31

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
Darrel E. Emarson	
(In the space above enter the full name(s) of the plaintiff(s)  - against -  ME City of Naw Work 21  "ME City Defendants"  Normed defendants  (In the space above enter the 6.11	AFFIRMATION OF SERVICE
13 The attached (3-) OWEN	declare under penalty of perjury hat I have
(name of person served)  SHAFET New York, NY. 1000  (where you s	whose address is 100 church  There S. Dartowitz Esq.  e-personal delivery, mail, overnight express, e
Dated: Prony Jy.  (town/city) (state)  March 08, 2010  (month) (day) (year)	Darrall F. Emerson  Signature  1639 Nelson Que  Address  Park N.4.  City, State  10453  Zip Code
	Telephone Number

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
Danell E. Emerson	
(In the space above enter the full name(s) of the plaintiff(s)/pet.	tioner(s).) a O9 Civ.1656 (VM) (FM)
- against - The City of Urw York et al  "The City Defendants?"  Normed defendants	AFFIRMATION OF SERVICE
Nouned defendants	
(In the space above enter the full name(s) of the defendant(s)/res	condent(s).)
I, Darrel E. Emerun	, declare under penalty of perjury that I have
	(document you are
upon Linda William Mc Carthy (name of person served)	whose address is 100 Church
by Mail  Street, New York, N. Y. WOO7  (where you serv	whose address is UD Church  C/o Courselo Feffery S. Dondownt 3
(how you served document: For example - p	ersonal delivery, mail, overnight express, etc.)
Dated: Broy , NY.  (town/city) , (state)  March	Signature  [639 Nelson Que Address
	City, State NY.
	LO453
Ž	elephone Number

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
Darrell E. Emerun	
(In the space above enter the full name(s) of the plaintiff(s)/pet	itioner(s).) a D9 Civ. 1656 (VM FM
- against -	
The City of New York et a "The City Defendants"  Normed defendants	AFFIRMATION OF SERVICE
"The City Oxfordands"?	
Nomed defondants	
(In the space above enter the full name(s) of the defendant(s)/res	spondent(s).)
•	
I, Warrell E, Emerson	_, declare under penalty of perjury hat I have
served a copy of the attached (2) Consider	ndad Complaint
_	(document you are serving)
upon <u>Onastasia</u> Ruria  (name of person served)	whose address is 100 Church
	O Foffery S. Dontowitz F19.
by May	
(how you served document: For example -	personal delivery, mail, overnight express, e: :)
	5 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Dated: Brow , vy (state)  Morch 88, 2010	Dansel E. Emgres
(month) (day) (year)	1639 Velson aux
	RADIA N. U
	City, State
	(0453
	Zip Code
	Telephone Number

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
Darrel E. Emerson	
(In the space above enter the full name(s) of the plaintiff(s)/petit	tioner(s).) a 09 Civ. 1656 (VM) (PM)
- against - The City of New-York et al "The City Defendants"  Named defendant's	AFFIRMATION OF SERVICE
(In the space above enter the full name(s) of the defendant(s)/res	
upon Herta Olivia - Taylor, A  (name of person served)  Street, New York, NI  (where you se	whose address is 320 Church
Dated: Brown (town/city) , N.Y. (state)  Morch 08, 2010 (day) (year)	Norrell E. Emerson Signature  1639 Nelson Quit Address  Brunk NY. City, State  10453  Zip Code  Telephone Number

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
Darrel 7. Imerun	
(In the space above enter the full name(s) of the plaintiff(s)/petition	oner(s).) a DP Civ. 1656 (VM) (FM)
- against - The City of New York et al  "the City Defendands"  Named defendants	AFFIRMATION OF SERVICE
(In the space above enter the full name(s) of the defendant(s)/resp	ondent(s).)
, ,	, declare under penalty of perjury that I have
served a copy of the attached (2) Omes	(document you are serving)
upon Thomas Praise C/o	whose address is 1 Police
Playa, Room 110A, Firzorms (where you ser	Division, New York, NY. 10038 ved document)
. Y// w . V	- personal delivery, mail, overnight express, etc.)
Dated: Pront , N4 (state)  March 08, 2010 (day) (year)	Signature  1639 Nelson Clue  Address  Brong NY.  City, State  10453  Zip Code
	Telephone Number

UNITED STATES OF NEW YORK		
(in the space danse are respect name(s) of the plantiff(s) positioner(s).)  Of Civ. 1856 (0)	/M) FM)	
AFFIRMATION OF	STRVICE	
(In the spine above entering full name(s) of the defendant(s) respondent(s).)  I, Will E. Entirson , declare under penalty of perjury that	Ihave	
served a copy of the mached (2) own and ad complaint  (document you are serving)  uppp Fiften 1. Dontorut 3 Esq. whose address is 100 C  (hamen purson served)  9TH Utility A., W.Y. (0007	*bural	
(where you served document). by		
Dated Gold (state)  Moach  (month) (day) (year)  Dated Gold E. Emirson  Signature  1639 Nobin Que  Address  Brown U.Y.		
City, State  OF53  Zip Code  Telephone Number		

UNITED STATES	ISTRICT COURT ICT OF NEW YORK				
	Ei Emerson  Juli name(s) of the plaintiff(	)/paittonier(s).)			
agansi.			69 Civ. (656)		
a H.	of New York e to Defendants				
	fatt name(s) of the defandant				
served a copy of the	QUE. Emerse hame) hadhed (2) Q	manded Co	mplaint re serving)	at I have	
(カタ物制器)	Fonghalli wensom served) Usew York N (where yo		e address is <u>26</u>		
by mail	(where yo		ail, overnight express, etc.		
Dated: 3/Len/4/2	(state)	Out 41 Signature	l E. Emerson Islam Cuz		
(month)	(day) (year)	1639 N Address Browk City, State	Elsen Cuz NY.		
		1045 = Zip Code	3		
		Telephone Number		-	

UNITED STATES D SOUTHERN DISTRI	GF OF NEW YORK			
	full name(s) of the plantiff(s)/petitioner(s).)			
aga <b>ins</b> .	Daw York Et al	AFFIRMATION OF	1	
i de la	y Africant's			
	dafandar!			
	ill name(s) of the defendant(s) respondent(s).)			
1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	E. Emeno, declare und	the state of the s	I have	
	(document)			
	(Where you served document)			38
by mod	ved document: For example - personal deliver		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
$\mathbf{D}$				
Dated: Standing (township)	(state) Signature	Nelson aux	<b>2</b> ∼	
(month)	Address	_	•	
	City, State	~ NY.	·	
	Zip Code  Telephone Num		- <del> </del>	
	[1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1]			
Rev 05/2007				

	ICT OF NEW YORK	A Marian A Marian Marian	
	I. Encroon  Juli name(s) of the plaintiff(s)/petitionar(s).)	111 144 7 (14)	
-againsi MECCO	Usw by 21 al AFFIRMATION OF	a Maria	
(militaspose abitas entra)	rained definitions spondenies,		
served a copy of the automorphisms of the automorph	tached (2) angulal complaint.  (document you are serving)  whose address is Brown  region served)		
y TYLOUX (how you s	ietims, 1886 Simpton St. Broux NY. (where you served document)  erved document: For example - personal delivery, mail, overnight express, etc.)	10459,1	UYPD
Dated: (town/cin)  Warth  (month)	(state)  (state)  (Signature)  Signature  1639 Velson Que Address  Rrowf WY.  City, State		
	IOY53 Zip Code  Telephone Number		

UNITED STATE SOUTHERN DIST	DETRICT COURT ICT OF NEW YORK
	Manual January Continuents)  On Civ. 1656 (Vm) RA  AFFIRMATION OF SIRVICE
(In the space above entaute	Pity Der Randards 1  Jemes defendants/respondent(s).)  We E Emers , declare under penalty of perjury that I have
	tached (2) amended Complaint (document you are serving)
	whose address is 2120  person serfed)  W. J. 18457, UYPD, 46th Pott  (where you served document)
Dated: Broy	rved document: For example - personal delivery, mail, overnight express, etc.)
(town/city) CMarch (month)	OS 20 10  (day) (year)  OS 20 10  Address  Gity, State
	I OGG 3  Zip Code  Telephone Number
Rev (15/2007	

SOUTHERN DIST	6356 15 <b>3</b> • •	ORK'			
(In the space above enter					
The City o	Ly Valandones omes datando	d		1656 (VM) (FM)  IATION OF SERVICE	ł
Web U	amen de land	orts	•		
		. 1			
(In the space above enter th	efull name(s) of the def	endant(s)/respondent(s).)		. •	
	in the second se				
10	م جد دار				
1, 1000	all Z. Im Er	declare, declare	under penalty of	perjury that I have	
served a copy of the	101	Dava del	Pour Daist		
Served a convent the a	attached Last	CALLACTOR CALLACT			į
served a copy of the	attached(A)	(docume	ent you are serving)		4.0
<u>.</u>		(docume	ent you are serving)	s 2120 Rym	
upon <b>B.O.</b> Soname o	tacy Black	(docume	ent you are serving) _ whose address i	s 2120 Ryan	
upon <b>B.O.</b> Soname o	tacy Black	(docume	ent you are serving)	/ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	744.70
upon B.O. S. (name o	tacy Black person served) wnx NY.11	(docume	ent you are serving) whose address i		74-72
upon <b>B.O.</b> Soname o	tacy Black person served) wnx NY.11	(docume	ent you are serving) whose address i  P.D. 4644 Period		0.00
upon B.O. S. (name o	tacy Black person served) wnx NY.11	(docume	ent you are serving) whose address i  P.D. 4644 Period		2012
upon Boo S  (name o  Chawlow  Chawlow  Dated: Brong	tacy Black (person served)  way NY. 1  (wh  wed document: For	(docume	ent you are serving) whose address i  P.D. 4644 Period		
upon B.O. S. (name o	tacy Black (person served)  why NY. In  (wh)  ved document: For  (state)	(docume	ent you are serving) whose address i  P.D. 4644 Period		
upon Boo S  (name o  Chawlow  Chawlow  Dated: Brong	tacy Black (person served)  way NY. 1  (wh  wed document: For	(docume	ent you are serving) whose address i  P.D. 4644 Period		
upon Boo S  (name o  Chawlow  Chawlow  Dated: Brong	tacy Black (person served)  why NY. 1  (wh)  wed document: For  (state)  08, 2010	(docume	ent you are serving) whose address i  P.D. 4644 Period		
upon Boo S  (name o  Chawlow  Chawlow  Dated: Brong	tacy Black (person served)  why NY. 1  (wh)  wed document: For  (state)  08, 2010	(docume	ent you are serving) whose address i  P.D. 4644 Period		
upon Boo S  (name o  Chawlow  Chawlow  Dated: Brong	tacy Black (person served)  why NY. 1  (wh)  wed document: For  (state)  08, 2010	(docume)  2  3457 NY  sere you served docume  r example—personal de  Signature  Lo2  Address  City, State	ent you are serving)  whose address i  P.D. 46th Rx  whith Rx  elivery, mail, overnight  one Relan Co		
upon Boo S  (name o  Chawlow  Chawlow  Dated: Brong	tacy Black (person served)  why NY. 1  (wh)  wed document: For  (state)  08, 2010	(docume)  2  3457 NY  nere you served docume  r example—personal de  Signature  Lo2  Address  City, State	ent you are serving)  whose address i  P.D. 46th Ray  elivery, mail, overnight  Now Reserving Queen Qu		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
Darrell E. Emerson	
(In the space above enter the first me(s) of the plaintiff(s)/petitione	(r(s).) 10 Civ. 1656 (UIL) (FIN)
The lety of vew Lorker  The Coty Dafandonts  Normand defandants	AFFIRMATION OF SI-RVICE
The con Dal andonts 95	
Nomed defendants	
	***************************************
(In the space above enter the full name(s) of the defendant(s)/respond	ent(s).)
Danger & Smaran	eclare under penalty of perjury that I have
・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・	ded Complaint
	document you are serving)
ipon Ordens Lowell Esq. (name of person served)	whose address is 4 Police
- Control <u>- 企</u> - Control - E - Control - E - E - E - E - E - E - E - E - E -	
Maya, Furgarm Der, Ro	Jon 110A, New York, N.Y. 20038
(where you served	document)
(how you served document: For example - per:	onal delivery, mail, overnight express, etc.)
	a u
Pated: 1800 (town/city) (state)	May rel E. Emerron
	gnature
(month) (day) (year)	1639 Nalson Cuz
Ac	Bronx N.Y.
	y, State
	18453
	Code
$\overline{\mathcal{T}_{P}}$	enhone Number